

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,630	07/03/2001	Rajesh Kumar	81862.P249	5156
BLAKELV SC	7590 01/08/200 OKOLOFE TAVLOR	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			JUNG, MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 1 22 200 3	1020		2616	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

K
<i>(</i> N

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/899,630	KUMAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Min Jung	2663
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·	•
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-9 and 12-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-9 and 12-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed a Applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to examine the correction of the objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number: 09/899,630

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 4, 7-9, 12, 15-18, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hagirahim et al., US 6,937,598 (Hagirahim).

Hagirahim discloses a method and apparatus for transporting ATM cell traffic over IP networks.

Regarding claim 1, 9, and 17, Hagirahim teaches a method and apparatus for setting a first connection between an edge gateway of a first voice packet network, having voice data of a first format, and an interworking unit (the connection between the ATM network 61 to the gateway 21, see Fig. 5); and setting a second connection

Application/Control Number: 09/899,630 Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

between an edge gateway of a second voice packet network, having voice data of a second format, and the interworking unit (the connection between the IP backbone network 11 and the gateway 21, see Fig. 5), wherein the interworking unit provides a conversion function to directly convert one of the voice data of the first format to voice data of the second format or the voice data of the second format to voice data of the first format, wherein the conversion function is controlled by one of a call agent of the first voice packet network or a call agent of the second voice packet network (ATM cells 41 are encapsulated into IP packets 51, see col. 5, lines 29-49, and col. 5, line 59 – col. 6, line 38).

Regarding claim 4, 12, and 18, Hagirahim teaches interworking unit interfacing with a call agent of a voice packet network. See col. 5, lines 35-49, and col. 6, lines 29-33. According to the present specification, a call agent has a network management function and has the "conversion server" software for interworking function (specification page 7, [0013]). Therefore, the gateway having the conversion (encapsulation) function for interworking between ATM and IP networks reads on this limitation.

Regarding claims 7, 8, 15, 16, 21, and 22, Hagirahim teaches IP network capable of transporting real time protocol. Hagirahim teaches POTS (voice) implemented using ADSL. See col. 5, line 59 – col. 6, line 38.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2663

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 6, 13, 14, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagirahim.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 13, 14, 19, and 20, Hagirahim fails to specifically teach that the network is a voice over ATM adaptation layer 2 network. Westberg, however, teaches transporting IP packets using ATM adaptation layer 2 (IP over ATM). It is well known at least from Westberg that ATM adaptation layer 2 network is used to interwork and transport packets of different format (IP packets). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the Hagirahim's teaching by adopting transporting scheme using ATM AAL2 as taught in Westberg to make the ATM over IP with ATM network operating with AAL2 functionalities. Further, layer 2 network being selected from the group consisting of a ITU Q.2630.1 controlled network, a PNNI controlled single-channel per Switched Virtual Circuit network, and a permanent virtual circuits network would be an obvious choice once layer 2 network is implemented.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed December 11, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue once again that Hagirahim does not teach or suggest the invention recited in the present claims. Specifically, applicants contend that Hagirahim is

Art Unit: 2663

silent about and does not teach or suggest that the voice data in the ATM format is converted to voice data in the IP format. Applicants further contend that Hagirahim explicitly discloses that the IP packet keeps the ATM cells intact within the packet, and that Hagirahim does not teach directly converting the voice data of the first format to voice data of the second format and to convert voice data of the second format to voice data of the first format. Applicants seem to give a very specific meaning to the words "convert" and "conversion", and seem to regard the result of the encapsulation as something that teaches away from conversion. However, the data format conversion resulted from encapsulation in Hagirahim is in fact a kind of format conversion, because voice data of ATM format is now carried in IP format after the conversion (encapsulation). By encapsulating the voice data in ATM format into an IP packet, Hagirahim converted the data format. If the invention is in the specific nature of the conversion involving a specific processing of header, etc., such aspect should be recited to overcome the references applied. The conversion of voice data from a first format to a second format as recited in the present claims reads on the teaching of Hagirahim as applied above.

Applicant further argues that Westberg also is silent about and does not teach or suggest an interworking unit to directly convert the voice data of the first format to voice data of the second format and to convert voice data of the second format to voice data of the first format, as claimed. The limitation is met by Hagirahim as addressed above, and as previously addressed in the last office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,630 Page 6

Art Unit: 2663

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-3127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJ August 7, 2006 Min Jung

Primary Examiner